arXiv:1210.0108v1 [math.DS] 29 Sep 2012

TOPOLOGICAL WIENER-WINTNER THEOREMS FOR AMENABLE OPERATOR SEMIGROUPS

MARCO SCHREIBER

Abstract. Inspired by topological Wiener-Wintner theorems we study the mean ergodicity of amenable semigroups of Markov operators on $C(K)$ and show the connection to the convergence of strong and weak ergodic nets. The results are then used to characterize mean ergodicity of Koopman semigroups corresponding to skew product actions on compact group extensions.

Robinson's topological Wiener-Wintner theorem [20, Theorem 1.1] is concerned with the uniform convergence of the weighted Cesàro averages

$$(\star) \qquad \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda^j S^j f$$

for a continuous function $f \in C(K)$ on a compact space K, the Koopman operator $S : f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ of a continuous transformation $\varphi : K \to K$ and λ in the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$. Subsequently, Robinson's result has been generalized in various ways by Walters [26], Santos and Walkden [22] and Lenz [18, 19].

It turned out that the uniform convergence of Wiener-Wintner averages plays an important role in the mathematical description of diffraction on quasicrystals. In [19] Lenz showed how the intensity of Bragg peaks can be calculated via certain limits of Wiener-Wintner averages, giving a partial answer to a conjecture of Bombieri and Taylor [3, 4].

So far, all these authors focused on the convergence of a particular sequence of Cesàro means similar to $(\star)$. In this paper we take a more general view and look at semigroups of operators being mean ergodic on $C(K)$ or on some closed invariant subspace. Based on the theory of mean ergodic semigroups (see [17, Chapter 2]) this allows us to unify and extend the known Wiener-Wintner theorems to amenable semigroups of Markov (instead of Koopman) operators on $C(K)$.

The problem when averages of the form $(\star)$ even converge uniformly in $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ has been studied independently by Assani [1] and Robinson [20] with their results subsequently generalized by Walters [26], Santos and Walkden [22] and Lenz [19]. In [25] we have developed the concept of a uniform family of ergodic nets that allows us to treat this question also in our more general setting.

In the first part of this paper we study mean ergodicity of semigroups of Markov operators on $C(K)$. For an amenable representation $\{S_g : g \in G\}$ of a semitopological semigroup G as

---

Date: October 2, 2012.

Key words and phrases. Markov operators, mean ergodicity, compact group extensions, uniquely ergodic.

Markov operators and for $\chi : G \to \mathbb{T}$ a continuous multiplicative map, we then characterize mean ergodicity of the semigroup $\{\chi(g)S_g : g \in G\}$.

In the second part we restrict our attention to Koopman operators on the space $C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ of continuous $\mathbb{C}^N$-valued functions and show similar results replacing $\chi : G \to \mathbb{T}$ by a continuous cocycle $\gamma : G \times K \to U(N)$ into the group of unitary operators on $\mathbb{C}^N$.

In the third part we consider skew product actions on compact group extensions. We use the previous results in order to characterize mean ergodicity of the corresponding Koopman representation. Finally, we obtain a new proof and a generalization of a theorem of Furstenberg, showing that an ergodic skew product action corresponding to a uniquely ergodic action is uniquely ergodic.

1. Semigroups of Markov operators

We consider the space $C(K)$ of complex valued continuous functions on a compact set K, a semitopological semigroup G (see Berglund et al. [2, Chapter 1.3]) and assume that $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$ is a bounded representation of G on $C(K)$, i.e.,

(i) $S_g \in (C(K))$ for all $g \in G$ and $\sup_{g \in G} \|S_g\| < \infty$,

(ii) $S_{g_1} S_{g_2} = S_{g_2 g_1}$ for all $g_1, g_2 \in G$,

(iii) $g \mapsto S_g f$ is continuous for all $f \in C(K)$.

Such a bounded representation $\mathcal{S}$ and its convex hull $\operatorname{co} \mathcal{S}$ are topological semigroups with respect to the strong operator topology.

On the dual space $C(K)'$, identified with the set $M(K)$ of regular Borel measures on K, we consider the adjoint semigroup $\mathcal{S}' := \{S'_g : g \in G\}$.

A *mean* on the space $C_b(G)$ of bounded continuous functions on G is a linear functional $m \in C_b(G)'$ satisfying $\langle m, \mathbb{1} \rangle = \|m\| = 1$. A mean $m \in C_b(G)'$ is called *right (left) invariant* if

$$\langle m, R_g f \rangle = \langle m, f \rangle \quad (\langle m, L_g f \rangle = \langle m, f \rangle) \quad \forall g \in G, f \in C_b(G),$$

where $R_g f(h) = f(hg)$ and $L_g f(h) = f(gh)$ for $h \in G$. A mean $m \in C_b(G)'$ is called *invariant* if it is both right and left invariant.

The semigroup G is called *right (left) amenable* if there exists a right (left) invariant mean on $C_b(G)$. It is called *amenable* if there exists an invariant mean on $C_b(G)$ (see Berglund et al. [2, Chapter 2.3] or the survey article of Day [5]). Notice that if $\mathcal{S} := \{S_g : g \in G\}$ is a bounded representation of a right (left) amenable semigroup G on X, then $\mathcal{S}$ endowed with the strong operator topology is also right (left) amenable. In the following, the space $(C(K))$ will be endowed with the strong operator topology unless stated otherwise.

A net $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ of operators in $(C(K))$ is called a *strong right (left) $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net* if the following conditions hold.

(1) $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}} \in \overline{\operatorname{co}} \mathcal{S}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

(2) $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ is *strongly right (left) asymptotically invariant*, i.e.,

$$\lim_\alpha A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}} f - A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}} S_g f = 0 \ \ \big(\lim_\alpha A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}} f - S_g A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}} f = 0\big) \text{ for all } f \in C(K) \text{ and } g \in G.$$

The net $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ is called a *strong $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net* if it is a strong right and left $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net. Clearly, the Cesàro means $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n S^j$ of a contraction $S \in (C(K))$ form a strong $\{S^j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$-ergodic net and we refer to [11, 23, 25] for many more examples.

The semigroup $\mathcal{S}$ is called *mean ergodic* if $\overline{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{S}$ contains a zero element P (see [2, Chapter 1.1]), which is called the *mean ergodic projection of $\mathcal{S}$*. (See e.g. Krengel [17, Chapter 2] for an introduction to this concept.)

Denote by $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S} = \{f \in C(K) : S_g f = f \ \forall g \in G\}$ and $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}' = \{\nu \in C(K)' : S_g' \nu = \nu \ \forall g \in G\}$ the fixed spaces of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}'$, respectively, and by $\operatorname{lin}\operatorname{rg}(I - \mathcal{S})$ the linear span of the set $\operatorname{rg}(I - \mathcal{S}) = \{f - S_g f : f \in C(K), g \in G\}$.

We recall some characterizations of mean ergodicity from Theorem 1.7 and Corollary 1.8 in [25].

Proposition 1.1. *Let G be represented on $C(K)$ by a bounded (right) amenable semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(1) $\mathcal{S}$ is mean ergodic with mean ergodic projection P.

(2) $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$ *separates* $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}'$.

(3) $C(K) = \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I - \mathcal{S})$.

(4) $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}} f$ converges weakly (to a fixed point of $\mathcal{S}$) for some/every strong (right) $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ and all $f \in C(K)$.

(5) $A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}} f$ converges strongly (to a fixed point of $\mathcal{S}$) for some/every strong (right) $\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ and all $f \in C(K)$.

The limit P of the nets $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}})$ in the weak (strong, resp.) operator topology is the mean ergodic projection of $\mathcal{S}$ mapping $C(K)$ onto $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$ *along* $\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I - \mathcal{S})$.

Let now G be represented on $C(K)$ by a bounded semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$ of *Markov operators*, i.e., of operators satisfying $S_g \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}$ and $S_g \geq 0$ for all $g \in G$. Assume that the semigroup $\mathcal{S}$ is *uniquely ergodic*, i.e., $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}' = \mathbb{C} \cdot \mu$ for some probability measure $\mu \in C(K)'$. We denote by $S_{g,2}$ the continuous extension of the operator $S_g \in \mathcal{S}$ to the space $L^2(K, \mu)$. The corresponding extended semigroup is $\mathcal{S}_2 := \{S_{g,2} : g \in G\}$ with $\mathcal{S}_2^* := \{S_{g,2}^* : g \in G\}$ the semigroup of Hilbert space adjoints. The semigroup $\mathcal{S}$ is called *ergodic with respect to μ* if $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}_2 = \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbb{1}$. Since in $L^2(K, \mu)$ all contraction semigroups are mean ergodic (see, e.g., [25, Corollary 1.9]), $\mathcal{S}_2$ is mean ergodic. In fact, the above assumptions even imply mean ergodicity on $C(K)$ (cf. Eisner et. al. [12, Theorem 10.6] and Krengel [17, Chapter 5, Section 5.1] for representations of $\mathbb{N}$).

Proposition 1.2. *Let G be represented on $C(K)$ by a bounded right amenable semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$ of Markov operators. Then (1) implies (2) in the following statements.*

(1) $\mathcal{S}$ is uniquely ergodic.

(2) $\mathcal{S}$ is mean ergodic and $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbb{1}$.

If there exists $0 \neq \mu \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}'$, *then (2) implies (1).*

Proof. (1)⇒(2): Since $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$ contains the constant functions, it separates $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}'$ and hence $\mathcal{S}$ is mean ergodic by Proposition 1.1. To show $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{C}\cdot\mathbb{1}$ it suffices to prove that $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}'$ separates $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$. To see this, take $0 \neq x \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$ and let P be the mean ergodic projection of $\mathcal{S}$. Choose $x' \in X'$ with $\langle x, x'\rangle \neq 0$. Since $Px \in \overline{\text{co}}\mathcal{S}x$ this implies $\langle x, P'x'\rangle = \langle Px, x'\rangle = \langle x, x'\rangle \neq 0$ and $P'x' \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}'$ follows by taking adjoints in the equality $PS_g = P$ for all $g \in G$.

Assume now, that there exists $0 \neq \mu \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}'$.
(2)⇒(1): If $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{C}\cdot\mathbb{1}$ separates $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}'$, then $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}'$ can be at most one dimensional. But by hypothesis $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}'$ is at least one dimensional and hence $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}' = \mathbb{C}\cdot\mu$. □

Notice that if in the situation of Proposition 1.2 $\mathcal{S}$ is also left amenable, then Day's fixed point theorem [6, Chapter V, Section 2, Theorem 5] ensures the existence of a probability measure $\mu \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}'$. This leads to the following corollary.

Corollary 1.3. *Let G be represented on $C(K)$ by a bounded amenable semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$ of Markov operators. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- *(1) $\mathcal{S}$ is uniquely ergodic.*
- *(2) $\mathcal{S}$ is mean ergodic and* $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{C}\cdot\mathbb{1}$.

Let $\widehat{G}$ be the set of all *characters of* G, i.e., the set of all continuous multiplicative maps $\chi : G \to \mathbb{T}$ (see [27]), and take $\chi \in \widehat{G}$. Then we consider the semigroup $\chi\mathcal{S} := \{\chi(g)S_g : g \in G\}$ and denote by $(\chi\mathcal{S})' := \{(\chi(g)S_g)' : g \in G\}$ the adjoint semigroup on $C(K)'$.

If $\mathcal{S}$ is (right) amenable, then so is $\chi\mathcal{S}$. Indeed, if $\tilde{m} \in C_b(\mathcal{S})'$ is a (right) invariant mean on $C_b(\mathcal{S})$, then $m \in C_b(\chi\mathcal{S})'$ given by

$$\langle m, h\rangle := \langle \tilde{m}, \tilde{h}\rangle \quad (h \in C_b(\chi\mathcal{S}))$$

defines a (right) invariant mean on $C_b(\chi\mathcal{S})$, where $\tilde{h}(S_g) = h(\chi(g)S_g)$ for $g \in G$.

Again, $\chi\mathcal{S}$ extends to $L^2(K,\mu)$ and the extended semigroup $\chi\mathcal{S}_2$ is contractive, hence mean ergodic on $L^2(K,\mu)$. But unlike $\mathcal{S}$, the semigroup $\chi\mathcal{S}$ is not always mean ergodic on $C(K)$. In [20, Proposition 3.1] Robinson gave an elaborated example for such a situation. Here is a much simpler one due to Roland Derndinger (oral communication).

Example 1.4. Consider the set $\{-1,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ endowed with the product topology and for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ define the sequence $x^{(i)} = (x_n^{(i)})_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \{-1,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ by

$$x_n^{(i)} := \begin{cases} (-1)^n, & n < i \\ (-1)^{n+1}, & n \geq i. \end{cases}$$

If φ denotes the left shift on $\{-1,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, i.e., $\varphi((x_n)) = (x_{n+1})$, then the set $K := \{\pm x^{(i)} : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \{-1,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a closed φ-invariant subset of $\{-1,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Here and in the following, the notation $\pm x^{(i)}$ means $+x^{(i)}$ and $-x^{(i)}$ simultaneously. Let S be the corresponding Koopman operator on $C(K)$, i.e., $Sf = f \circ \varphi$ for $f \in C(K)$. We claim that the semigroup $\mathcal{S} := \{S^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is uniquely ergodic, but if $\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{N}}$ is given by $\chi(n) = (-1)^n$, then $\chi\mathcal{S} = \{(-S)^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is not mean ergodic.

First, notice that $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{C}\cdot\mathbb{1}$. Indeed, if $f \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}$, then for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $n > i$ such that $f(\pm x^{(i)}) = S^n f(\pm x^{(i)}) = f(x^{(1)})$, and thus f is constant. To show that $\mathcal{S}$ is uniquely ergodic it thus suffices by Corollary 1.3 to show that $\mathcal{S}$ is mean ergodic.

Let $f \in C(K)$. Then $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} S^n f$ converges pointwise to the continuous function $\pm x^{(i)} \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(f(x^{(1)}) + f(-x^{(1)}))$ since $f(\varphi^n(\pm x^{(i)})) = f((-1)^{n+i}(\pm x^{(1)}))$ for all $n > i$. Since weak and pointwise convergence coincide for bounded sequences, it follows from Proposition 1.1 that $\mathcal{S}$ is mean ergodic.

We now show that $\chi\mathcal{S} = \{(-S)^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is not mean ergodic. Let $f_1 \in C(\{-1,1\}^{\mathbb{N}})$ be defined by $f_1((x_n)) = x_1$ and take its restriction f_1 to K. Then $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}(-S)^n f_1$ converges pointwise to the function h defined by $h(\pm x^{(i)}) = \pm 1$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. But $h \notin C(K)$ since $x^{(i)} \to -x^{(1)}$ and $h(x^{(i)}) = 1 \not\to -1 = h(-x^{(1)})$. Hence the sequence $\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}(-S)^n f_1\right)_N$ does not converge in $C(K)$ and thus $\chi\mathcal{S}$ is not mean ergodic.

Motivated by this example and various papers in mathematical physics on diffraction theory of quasicrystals and on the Bombieri-Taylor conjecture (see e.g. [8, 15, 18, 19]), we now characterize the mean ergodicity of the semigroup $\chi\mathcal{S}$.

Let us first recall some facts about the lattice structure of $C(K)'$ (see [12, Appendix D.2] for details). For a bounded linear functional $\nu \in C(K)'$ one defines a mapping

$$\langle |\nu|, f\rangle := \sup\{|\langle \nu, h\rangle| : h \in C(K), |h| \leq f\}$$

for $0 \leq f \in C(K)$ and extends it uniquely to a bounded linear functional $|\nu| \in C(K)'$. With this structure the space $C(K)'$ becomes a Banach lattice. On the other hand, the space $M(K)$ of regular Borel measures on K is a Banach lattice with the total variation $|\nu|$ of a measure $\nu \in M(K)$ defined by

$$|\nu|(E) := \sup\left\{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\nu(E_j)| : (E_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \text{ a partition of } E\right\}, \quad (E \subset K \text{ measurable}),$$

and the norm $\|\nu\| := |\nu|(K)$. The notation $|\nu|$ for a functional $\nu \in C(K)'$ and a measure $\nu \in M(K)$ is justified since the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} d : M(K) &\to C(K)' \\ \nu &\mapsto d\nu \end{aligned}$$

in the Riesz Representation Theorem is a lattice isomorphism.

For a function $h \in L^2(K,\mu)$ we denote by $hd\mu \in C(K)'$ the functional defined by

$$\langle hd\mu, f\rangle := \langle h, f\rangle_{L^2(K,\mu)} = \int_K \overline{h(x)} f(x)\, d\mu(x) \quad (f \in C(K)).$$

Lemma 1.5. *Let G be represented on $C(K)$ by a bounded semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$ of Markov operators. If $\mathcal{S}$ is uniquely ergodic with invariant measure μ, then for each $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ the map*

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(K,\mu) \supset \operatorname{Fix}(\chi\mathcal{S}_2)^* &\to \operatorname{Fix}(\chi\mathcal{S})' \subset C(K)' \\ h &\mapsto hd\mu \end{aligned}$$

is linear and bijective.

Proof. To see that the map is well-defined, let $h \in \operatorname{Fix}(\chi\mathcal{S}_2)^*$. For all $f \in C(K)$ and $g \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle (\chi(g)S_g)'(h d\mu), f \right\rangle &= \langle h d\mu, \chi(g) S_g f\rangle = \langle h, \chi(g) S_{g,2} f\rangle_{L^2(K,\mu)} = \langle (\chi(g)S_{g,2})^* h, f\rangle_{L^2(K,\mu)} \\ &= \langle h, f\rangle_{L^2(K,\mu)} = \langle h d\mu, f\rangle ,\end{aligned}$$

yielding $h\, d\mu \in \operatorname{Fix}(\chi\mathcal{S})'$.

Since linearity and injectivity are clear, it remains to show surjectivity. Let $\nu \in \operatorname{Fix}(\chi\mathcal{S})'$. We claim that $|\nu| \le S_g'|\nu|$ for all $g \in G$. Indeed, if $0 \le f \in C(K)$ and $g \in G$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle |\nu|, f\rangle &= \sup_{|\tilde{f}|\le f} |\langle \nu, \tilde{f}\rangle| = \sup_{|\tilde{f}|\le f} |\langle \nu, \chi(g) S_g \tilde{f}\rangle| \le \sup_{|\tilde{f}|\le f} \langle |\nu|, |S_g\tilde{f}|\rangle \\ &\le \sup_{|\tilde{f}|\le f} \langle |\nu|, S_g|\tilde{f}|\rangle = \langle |\nu|, S_g f\rangle = \left\langle S_g'|\nu|, f\right\rangle .\end{aligned}$$

If $0 \le f \in C(K)$ and $g \in G$, then

$$0 \le \left\langle S_g'|\nu| - |\nu|, f\right\rangle \le \left\langle S_g'|\nu| - |\nu|, \|f\|_\infty \mathbb{1}\right\rangle = \|f\|_\infty \langle |\nu|, S_g\mathbb{1} - \mathbb{1}\rangle = 0.$$

Hence $|\nu| \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}' = \mathbb{C}\cdot\mu$ by unique ergodicity and thus ν is absolutely continuous with respect to μ. The Radon-Nikodým Theorem then implies the existence of a function $h \in L^\infty(K,\mu)$ such that $\nu = h d\mu$. The same calculation as above shows that $h \in \operatorname{Fix}(\chi\mathcal{S}_2)^*$. □

If G is represented on $C(K)$ by a bounded semigroup $\mathcal{S}$ of Markov operators, then $\mathcal{S}_2$ consists of contractions on $L^2(K,\mu)$ and thus the fixed spaces of $\mathcal{S}_2$ and $\mathcal{S}_2^*$ coincide. Hence, it follows from Lemma 1.5 applied to the constant character $\mathbb{1} \in \widehat{G}$, that unique ergodicity of $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to μ implies ergodicity of $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to μ.

Lemma 1.6. *Let G be represented on $C(K)$ by a bounded semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$ of Markov operators. If $\mathcal{S}$ is ergodic with respect to some invariant measure μ and $\chi \in \widehat{G}$, then* $\dim \operatorname{Fix} \chi\mathcal{S}_2 \le 1$.

Proof. The semigroup $\mathcal{S}_2$ consists of contractions on $L^2(K,\mu)$ and thus the closure $\mathscr{T}$ of $\mathcal{S}_2$ with respect to the weak operator topology contains a unique minimal idempotent Q (cf. [12, Theorem 16.11]). By [12, Theorem 16.22] the minimal ideal $\mathcal{G} = \mathscr{T}Q$ of $\mathscr{T}$ is a compact group (even for the strong operator topology) and the map $T \mapsto T|_{\operatorname{ran} Q}$ from $\mathcal{G}$ to $\{T|_{\operatorname{ran} Q} : T \in \mathscr{T}\}$ is a topological isomorphism of compact groups. The projection Q is positive since each operator in $\mathcal{S}_2$ is positive. Moreover, Q is an orthogonal projection onto its range with $\mathbb{1} \in \operatorname{ran} Q$. Since $\langle Qf, \mathbb{1}\rangle_{L^2} = \langle f, \mathbb{1}\rangle_{L^2} > 0$ for each $0 < f \in L^2(K,\mu)$, Q is strictly positive on $L^2(K,\mu)$. Hence $\operatorname{ran} Q$ is a sublattice of $L^2(K,\mu)$ by [24, Proposition 11.5]. If T_g denotes the restriction of $S_{g,2}$ to $\operatorname{ran} Q$, then T_g is invertible with positive inverse, hence T_g is a lattice homomorphism with $T_g\mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1}$ for each $g \in G$. By [12, Theorem 7.18] each T_g is then an algebra homomorphism on the subalgebra $\operatorname{ran} Q \cap L^\infty(K,\mu)$.

Now, take $\chi \in \widehat{G}$. If $f \in \operatorname{Fix} \chi\mathcal{S}_2$, then f generates a one-dimensional $\mathcal{S}_2$-invariant subspace of $L^2(K,\mu)$ and hence by [12, Theorem 16.29] is contained in $\operatorname{ran} Q$. Since $S_{g,2}$ is a lattice homomorphism on $\operatorname{ran} Q$, we have $|f| = |\overline{\chi(g)} S_{g_2} f| = S_{g,2}|f|$ for each $g \in G$, hence by ergodicity, $|f| \in \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbb{1}$. So, if $f, h \in \operatorname{Fix} \chi\mathcal{S}_2$ we have $f, h \in \operatorname{ran} Q \cap L^\infty(K, \mu)$ and we may assume $|f| = |h| = \mathbb{1}$. We then obtain

$$S_{g,2}(f \cdot \overline{h}) = T_g(f \cdot \overline{h}) = T_g f \cdot T_g \overline{h} = \overline{\chi(g)} f \cdot \chi(g) \overline{h} = f \cdot \overline{h}$$

for each $g \in G$. Hence $f \cdot \overline{h} \in \operatorname{Fix} \mathcal{S}_2$ and therefore $f \cdot \overline{h} = c \cdot \mathbb{1}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$, which yields $f = c \cdot h$. $\square$

The following theorem is our first main result.

Theorem 1.7. *Let G be represented by a bounded (right) amenable semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$ of Markov operators on $C(K)$, which is uniquely ergodic with invariant measure μ. For $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ the following assertions are equivalent.*

(1) $\operatorname{Fix} \chi\mathcal{S}_2 \subset C(K)$.

(2) $\chi\mathcal{S}$ is mean ergodic with mean ergodic projection P.

(3) $\operatorname{Fix} \chi\mathcal{S}$ *separates* $\operatorname{Fix}(\chi\mathcal{S})'$.

(4) $C(K) = \operatorname{Fix} \chi\mathcal{S} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{lin}} \operatorname{rg}(I - \chi\mathcal{S})$.

(5) $A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}} f$ converges weakly (to a fixed point of $\chi\mathcal{S}$) for some/every strong (right) $\chi\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net $(A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}})$ and all $f \in C(K)$.

(6) $A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}} f$ converges strongly (to a fixed point of $\chi\mathcal{S}$) for some/every strong (right) $\chi\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net $(A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}})$ and all $f \in C(K)$.

The limit P of the nets $(A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}})$ in the strong (weak, resp.) operator topology is the mean ergodic projection of $\chi\mathcal{S}$ mapping $C(K)$ onto $\operatorname{Fix} \chi\mathcal{S}$ *along* $\overline{\operatorname{lin}} \operatorname{rg}(I - \chi\mathcal{S})$.

Proof. The equivalence of the statements (2) to (6) follows directly from Proposition 1.1.

(1)⇒(3): If $0 \neq \nu \in \operatorname{Fix}(\chi\mathcal{S})'$, then $\nu = h d\mu$ by Lemma 1.5 for some $0 \neq h \in \operatorname{Fix}(\chi\mathcal{S}_2)^*$. Since $\chi\mathcal{S}_2$ consists of contractions on $L^2(K, \mu)$, we have $\operatorname{Fix}(\chi\mathcal{S}_2)^* = \operatorname{Fix} \chi\mathcal{S}_2$. Since $\operatorname{Fix} \chi\mathcal{S}_2 \subset C(K)$ by (1), this yields $h \in \operatorname{Fix} \chi\mathcal{S}$ and

$$\langle \nu, h \rangle = \|h\|^2_{L^2(K,\mu)} > 0.$$

(3)⇒(1): Suppose $f \in \operatorname{Fix} \chi\mathcal{S}_2 \setminus C(K)$. Then $\dim \operatorname{Fix} \chi\mathcal{S}_2 = 1$ and $\dim \operatorname{Fix} \chi\mathcal{S} = 0$ by Lemma 1.6, while $\dim \operatorname{Fix}(\chi\mathcal{S})' = 1$ by Lemma 1.5. Hence $\operatorname{Fix} \chi\mathcal{S}$ does not separate $\operatorname{Fix}(\chi\mathcal{S})'$. $\square$

Notice that the mean ergodic projection P of $\chi\mathcal{S}$ on $C(K)$ coincides with the restriction to $C(K)$ of the mean ergodic projection of $\chi\mathcal{S}_2$ on $L^2(K, \mu)$.

As Example 1.4 shows, mean ergodicity of $\chi\mathcal{S}$ does not hold on $C(K)$ in general. The following theorem characterizes mean ergodicity of $\chi\mathcal{S}$ on the closed invariant subspace $Y_f := \overline{\operatorname{lin}} \chi\mathcal{S} f$ for some given $f \in C(K)$. This extends results of Robinson [20, Theorem 1.1] and Lenz [19, Theorem 1].

For a closed subspace $H \subset L^2(K, \mu)$ we denote by P_H the orthogonal projection onto H.

Theorem 1.8. *Let G be represented on $C(K)$ by a bounded (right) amenable semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$ of Markov operators, which is uniquely ergodic with invariant measure μ. For $\chi \in \widehat{G}$ and $f \in C(K)$ the following assertions are equivalent.*

(1) $P_{\operatorname{Fix}\chi\mathcal{S}_2} f \in C(K)$.

(2) $\chi\mathcal{S}$ *is mean ergodic on* Y_f *with mean ergodic projection* P.

(3) $\operatorname{Fix}\chi\mathcal{S}|_{Y_f}$ *separates* $\operatorname{Fix}(\chi\mathcal{S})|'_{Y_f}$.

(4) $f \in \operatorname{Fix}\chi\mathcal{S} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I - \chi\mathcal{S})$.

(5) $A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}} f$ *converges weakly (to a fixed point of* $\chi\mathcal{S}$*) for some/every strong (right)* $\chi\mathcal{S}$*-ergodic net* $(A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}})$.

(6) $A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}} f$ *converges strongly (to a fixed point of* $\chi\mathcal{S}$*) for some/every strong (right)* $\chi\mathcal{S}$*-ergodic net* $(A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}})$.

The limit P *of* $A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}}$ *in the strong (weak, resp.) operator topology on* Y_f *is the mean ergodic projection of* $\chi\mathcal{S}|_{Y_f}$ *mapping* Y_f *onto* $\operatorname{Fix}\chi\mathcal{S}|_{Y_f}$ *along* $\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I - \chi\mathcal{S}|_{Y_f})$.

Proof. The equivalence of the statements (2) to (6) follows directly from Proposition 1.11 in [25].

(6)⇒(1): By von Neumann's Ergodic Theorem $P_{\operatorname{Fix}\chi\mathcal{S}_2} f$ is the limit of $A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}} f$ in $L^2(K,\mu)$. If $A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}} f$ converges strongly in $C(K)$, then the limits coincide almost everywhere and hence $P_{\operatorname{Fix}\chi\mathcal{S}_2} f$ has a continuous representative.

(1)⇒(4): Let $\nu \in C(K)'$ vanish on $\operatorname{Fix}\chi\mathcal{S} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I - \chi\mathcal{S})$. Then, in particular, $\langle \nu, h\rangle = \langle \nu, \chi(g)S_g h\rangle = \langle (\chi(g)S_g)'\nu, h\rangle$ for all $h \in C(K)$ and $g \in G$ and thus $\nu \in \operatorname{Fix}(\chi\mathcal{S})'$. Hence by Lemma 1.5 there exists $h \in \operatorname{Fix}(\chi\mathcal{S}_2)^*$ such that $\nu = h d\mu$. Let $(A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}_2})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}$ be a strong $\chi\mathcal{S}_2$-ergodic net on $L^2(K,\mu)$. Then $(A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}_2})^* h = h$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and von Neumann's Ergodic Theorem implies

$$\langle \nu, f\rangle = \langle h, f\rangle_{L^2} = \left\langle h, A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}_2} f\right\rangle_{L^2} \to \langle h, \underbrace{P_{\operatorname{Fix}\chi\mathcal{S}_2} f}_{\in C(K)}\rangle_{L^2} = \langle \nu, \underbrace{P_{\operatorname{Fix}\chi\mathcal{S}_2} f}_{\in \operatorname{Fix}\chi\mathcal{S}}\rangle = 0.$$

Hence the Hahn-Banach Theorem yields $f \in \operatorname{Fix}\chi\mathcal{S} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I - \chi\mathcal{S})$ since $\operatorname{Fix}\chi\mathcal{S} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I - \chi\mathcal{S})$ is closed by Theorem 1.9 in Krengel [17, Chapter 2]. □

We now recall the concept of a uniform family of ergodic nets from [25] and apply it to operators on the Banach space $C(K)$.

Definition 1.9. Suppose that the semigroup G is represented on $C(K)$ by bounded semigroups $\mathcal{S}_i = \{S_{i,g} : g \in G\}$ for each i in some index set I such that the $\mathcal{S}_i$ are *uniformly bounded*, i.e., $\sup_{i\in I}\sup_{g\in G}\|S_{i,g}\| < \infty$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a directed set and let $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} \subset (C(K))$ be a net of operators for each $i \in I$. Then $\{(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} : i \in I\}$ is a *uniform family of right (left) ergodic nets* if

(1) $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{A}, \forall \varepsilon > 0, \forall f_1, \dots, f_m \in C(K), \exists g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ such that for each $i \in I$ there exists a convex combination $\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i,j} S_{i,g_j} \in \operatorname{co} \mathcal{S}_i$ satisfying

$$\sup_{i\in I} \|A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} f_k - \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{i,j} S_{i,g_j} f_k\|_\infty < \varepsilon \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, m\};$$

(2) $\lim_\alpha \sup_{i\in I} \|A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} f - A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} S_{i,g} f\|_\infty = 0 \quad \left(\lim_\alpha \sup_{i\in I} \|A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} f - S_{i,g} A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i} f\|_\infty = 0\right) \quad \forall g \in G, f \in C(K).$

The set $\{(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} : i \in I\}$ is called a *uniform family of ergodic nets* if it is a uniform family of left and right ergodic nets.

Notice that if $\{(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} : i \in I\}$ is a uniform family of (right) ergodic nets, then each $(A_\alpha^{\mathcal{S}_i})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}$ is a strong (right) $\mathcal{S}_i$-ergodic net. The simplest non-trivial example of a uniform family of ergodic nets is the family of weighted Cesàro means

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\lambda S)^j \right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} : \lambda \in \mathbb{T} \right\}$$

for a contraction $S \in (C(K))$. See [25, Proposition 2.2] for more examples.

We now choose a subset Λ of characters in $\widehat{G}$ and consider the semigroups $\chi\mathcal{S}$ for each $\chi \in \Lambda$. If $\{(A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} : \chi \in \Lambda\}$ is a uniform family of right ergodic nets, $f \in C(K)$ and $\chi\mathcal{S}$ is right amenable and mean ergodic on $\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\chi\mathcal{S}f$ for each $\chi \in \Lambda$, then $A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}} f$ converges (in supremum norm) to $P_{\operatorname{Fix}\chi\mathcal{S}_2} f$ for each $\chi \in \Lambda$ by Theorem 1.8. The next corollary gives a sufficient condition for this convergence to be uniform in $\chi \in \Lambda$. It generalizes Theorem 2 of Lenz [19] to right amenable semigroups of Markov operators.

Corollary 1.10. *Let G be represented on $C(K)$ by a bounded right amenable semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$ of Markov operators and let $\mathcal{S}$ be uniquely ergodic with invariant measure μ. Consider the semigroups $\chi\mathcal{S}$ for each χ in a compact set $\Lambda \subset \widehat{G}$. If $\{(A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} : \chi \in \Lambda\}$ is a uniform family of right ergodic nets and if $f \in C(K)$ satisfies*

(1) $P_{\operatorname{Fix}\chi\mathcal{S}_2} f \in C(K)$ for all $\chi \in \Lambda$,

(2) the map $\Lambda \to \mathbb{R}_+, \chi \mapsto \|A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}} f - P_{\operatorname{Fix}\chi\mathcal{S}_2} f\|_\infty$ is continuous for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$,

then

$$\lim_\alpha \sup_{\chi\in\Lambda} \|A_\alpha^{\chi\mathcal{S}} f - P_{\operatorname{Fix}\chi\mathcal{S}_2} f\|_\infty = 0.$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.8 and our hypotheses, the semigroup $\chi\mathcal{S}$ is mean ergodic on $\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\chi\mathcal{S}f$ for all $\chi \in \Lambda$. The result then follows directly from Theorem 2.4 in [25]. □

The following corollary is a direct consequence. It generalizes Theorem 2.10 of Assani [1], who considered Koopman representations of the semigroup $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ and the Følner sequence given by $F_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Corollary 1.11. *Let H be a locally compact group with left Haar measure $|\cdot|$ and suppose that $G \subset H$ is a subsemigroup such that there exists a Følner net $(F_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}$ in G. Let G be represented on $C(K)$ by a bounded semigroup $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g \in G\}$ of Markov operators and* *assume that* $\mathcal{S}$ *is uniquely ergodic with invariant measure* μ*. If* $f \in C(K)$ *satisfies* $P_{\operatorname{Fix}\chi\mathcal{S}_2} f = 0$ *for all* χ *in a compact set* $\Lambda \subset \widehat{G}$*, then*

$$\lim_{\alpha} \sup_{\chi\in\Lambda} \left\| \frac{1}{|F_\alpha|} \int_{F_\alpha} \chi(g) S_g f \, dg \right\|_\infty = 0.$$

Proof. If (F_α) is a Følner net in G, then G and consequently $\mathcal{S}$ is right amenable. Since $\Lambda \subset \widehat{G}$ is compact, it follows from [25, Proposition 2.2 (f)] that

$$\left\{ \left(\frac{1}{|F_\alpha|} \int_{F_\alpha} \chi(g) S_g \, dg \right)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} : \chi \in \Lambda \right\}$$

is a uniform family of right ergodic nets. If $P_{\operatorname{Fix}\chi\mathcal{S}_2} f = 0$ for all $\chi \in \Lambda$, then the conditions (1) and (2) of Corollary 1.10 are satisfied since the map $\chi \mapsto \frac{1}{|F_\alpha|}\int_{F_\alpha} \chi(g) S_g f dg$ is continuous. Hence

$$\lim_{\alpha} \sup_{\chi\in\Lambda} \left\| \frac{1}{|F_\alpha|} \int_{F_\alpha} \chi(g) S_g f \, dg \right\|_\infty = 0.$$

□

2. Semigroups of Koopman operators

In this section we consider semigroups of Koopman operators on the space $C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ of continuous $\mathbb{C}^N$-valued functions on K for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The space $\mathbb{C}^N$ will be endowed with the Euclidean norm $x \mapsto \|x\|_2 = \sqrt{\langle x, x\rangle_2}$ and the space $C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ with the norm $f \mapsto \|f\| = \sup_{x\in K} \|f(x)\|_2$. We identify $C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ with $C(K)^N$ and write $f = (f_1, \dots, f_N) \in C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ with coordinate functions $f_i \in C(K)$. As before, G is a semitopological semigroup.

Definition 2.1. A *semigroup action of* G *on* K is a continuous map

$$G \times K \to K, \ \ (g, x) \mapsto gx$$

satisfying

$$(g_1 g_2)x = g_1(g_2 x) \text{ for all } g_1, g_2 \in G \text{ and } x \in K.$$

In this case we say that G *acts on* K.

Let G be a semitopological semigroup acting on K and let $\mathcal{S} := \{S_g : g \in G\}$ be the corresponding *Koopman representation* on $C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$, i.e., $S_g f(x) = f(gx)$ for $f \in C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$, $g \in G$ and $x \in K$.

We say that a measure μ on K is G*-invariant* if $\mu(A) = \mu(g^{-1}A)$ for all Borel sets $A \subset K$, where $g^{-1}A = \{x \in K : gx \in A\}$. Notice that this is equivalent to $\mu \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}'$. If μ is a G-invariant measure, we denote by $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{S_{g,2} : g \in G\}$ the extension of the semigroup $\mathcal{S}$ to $L^2(K, \mathbb{C}^N, \mu)$. The action of G on K is called *ergodic with respect to* μ if there is no non-trivial measurable G-invariant set, or equivalently if $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}_2 = \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathbb{1} \subset L^2(K, \mu)$. The action of G on K is called *uniquely ergodic* if there exists a unique G-invariant probability measure μ on K. Notice that this is equivalent to $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}' = \mathbb{C} \cdot \mu \subset C(K)'$ for some probability measure $\mu \in C(K)'$.

Definition 2.2. Let Ω be a topological group. A continuous map $\gamma : G \times K \to \Omega$ is called a *continuous cocycle* if it satisfies the *cocycle equation*

$$\gamma(g_1 g_2, x) = \gamma(g_2, x)\gamma(g_1, g_2 x) \quad \forall g_1, g_2 \in G, x \in K.$$

The set of continuous cocycles is denoted by $\Gamma(G \times K, \Omega)$. If Ω is a compact metric group with metric d, then we endow $\Gamma(G \times K, \Omega)$ with the metric

$$\tilde{d}(f_1, f_2) := \sup_{(g,x)\in G\times K} d\left(f_1(g,x), f_2(g,x)\right) \quad (f_1, f_2 \in \Gamma(G \times K, \Omega)).$$

Denote by $U(N)$ the group of unitary operators on $\mathbb{C}^N$ and take a continuous cocycle $\gamma \in \Gamma(G\times K, U(N))$. Motivated by papers of Walters [26] and Santos and Walkden [22] we study the mean ergodicity of the semigroup $\gamma\mathcal{S} := \{\gamma(g, \cdot)S_g : g \in G\}$ on $C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$, where $\gamma(g, \cdot)S_g f(x) = \gamma(g, x)S_g f(x)$ for $f \in C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ and $x \in K$.

In order to proceed as in the previous section we need some facts about vector valued measures (see Diestel and Uhl [7]). Denote by $M(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ the set of σ-additive functions $\nu : \Sigma \to \mathbb{C}^N$ defined on the Borel σ-algebra Σ of K. We define the *total variation* $|\nu|_2 : \Sigma \to [0, \infty]$ of a measure $\nu \in M(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ by

$$|\nu|_2(E) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|\nu(E_j)\|_2 : E = \bigsqcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} E_j \right\}, \quad (E \in \Sigma),$$

where $E = \bigsqcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} E_j$ means that the family $(E_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \Sigma$ is a partition of E.

The main property of the total variation of a measure $\nu \in M(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ is the fact that $|\nu|_2 : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is a finite positive measure on K, which can be deduced from Theorem 6.2 and Theorem 6.4 in Rudin [21].

Identifying $M(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ with $M(K)^d$, we take $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N) \in M(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$. If $f = (f_1, \dots, f_N) \in C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$, then the map

$$\tilde{d\nu} : f \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_K f_i d\nu_i$$

defines a linear functional on $C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$. For $f \in C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle \tilde{d\nu}, f \right\rangle\right| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_K f_i d\nu_i \right| \le \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_K |f_i| d|\nu_i| \\
&\le \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sup_{x\in K} |f_i(x)||\nu_i|(K) \le \max_{i=1,\dots,N} \sup_{x\in K} |f_i(x)| \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\nu_i|(K) \\
&\le \sup_{x\in K} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} |f_i(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\nu_i|(K) = \|f\| \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\nu_i|(K)
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $\tilde{d\nu}$ is bounded with $\|\tilde{d\nu}\| \le \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\nu_i|(K)$.

The next result follows from the Riesz Representation Theorem and is in fact equivalent to it (cf. [10, Chapter VI, Section 7, Theorem 3]).

Theorem 2.3. *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{d} : M(K, \mathbb{C}^N) &\to C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)' \\ \nu &\mapsto \tilde{d}\nu \end{aligned}$$

is linear and bijective.

Proof. The only non-trivial statement is the surjectivity. So take $\xi \in C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)'$, $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$ the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^N$ and define $\xi_i \in C(K)'$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ by $\xi_i(f) := \xi(f \otimes e_i)$, where $f \otimes e_i \in C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ is the function $x \mapsto f(x)e_i$. By the Riesz Representation Theorem for each $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ there exists $\nu_i \in M(K)$ with $\xi_i = d\nu_i$. If we define $\nu := (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N) \in M(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$, then for each $f = (f_1, \dots, f_N) \in C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ we obtain

$$\left\langle \tilde{d}\nu, f \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_K f_i d\nu_i = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle \xi_i, f_i \rangle = \left\langle \xi, \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_i \otimes e_i \right\rangle = \langle \xi, f \rangle$$

and hence $\tilde{d}\nu = \xi$. □

For a bounded linear functional $\nu \in C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)'$ we define the functional $|\nu|_2$ by

$$\langle |\nu|_2, f \rangle := \sup \left\{ |\langle \nu, h \rangle| : h \in C(K, \mathbb{C}^N), \|h(\cdot)\|_2 \leq f \right\}$$

for $0 \leq f \in C(K)$. It is clear that $\|\nu\| = \langle |\nu|_2, \mathbb{1} \rangle$.

Proposition 2.4. *There exists a unique bounded and linear extension of* $|\nu|_2$ *to* $C(K)$.

Proof. The positive homogeneity of $|\nu|_2$ is clear from the definition. To see additivity, take $0 \leq f_1, f_2 \in C(K)$ and $\|h_1(\cdot)\|_2 \leq f_1$ and $\|h_2(\cdot)\|_2 \leq f_2$. Then we have for certain $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{T}$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \nu, h_1 \rangle| + |\langle \nu, h_2 \rangle| &= |c_1 \langle \nu, h_1 \rangle + c_2 \langle \nu, h_2 \rangle| \leq \langle |\nu|_2, \|c_1 h_1(\cdot) + c_2 h_2(\cdot)\|_2 \rangle \\ &\leq \langle |\nu|_2, \|h_1(\cdot)\|_2 + \|h_2(\cdot)\|_2 \rangle \leq \langle |\nu|_2, f_1 + f_2 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and thus $\langle |\nu|_2, f_1 \rangle + \langle |\nu|_2, f_2 \rangle \leq \langle |\nu|_2, f_1 + f_2 \rangle$.

For the converse inequality take $\|h(\cdot)\|_2 \leq f_1 + f_2$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. The open sets

$$U_1 := \{x \in K : \|h(x)\|_2 > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad U_2 := \{x \in K : \|h(x)\|_2 < \varepsilon\}$$

cover K. Hence by Theorem D.6 in [12] there exists a function $\psi \in C(K)$ with $0 \leq \psi \leq \mathbb{1}$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\psi) \subset U_1$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbb{1} - \psi) \subset U_2$. Define for $j = 1, 2$

$$h_j(x) := \begin{cases} \psi(x) \frac{f_j(x)}{f_1(x) + f_2(x)} h(x), & f_1(x) + f_2(x) \neq 0 \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have $h_j \in C(K)$, $h_1 + h_2 = \psi h$ and $\|h_j(\cdot)\|_2 \leq f_j$ for each $j = 1, 2$. Moreover, we obtain

$$\|h - (h_1 + h_2)(\cdot)\|_2 = \|(\mathbb{1} - \psi)h(\cdot)\|_2 = |\mathbb{1} - \psi| \|h(\cdot)\|_2 < \varepsilon \mathbb{1}$$

and thus

$$|\langle \nu, h \rangle| \leq |\langle \nu, h_1 + h_2 \rangle| + \varepsilon \|\nu\| \leq \langle |\nu|_2, f_1 \rangle + \langle |\nu|_2, f_2 \rangle + \varepsilon \|\nu\|.$$

Hence $\langle |\nu|_2, f_1 + f_2 \rangle \leq \langle |\nu|_2, f_1 \rangle + \langle |\nu|_2, f_2 \rangle + \varepsilon \|\nu\|$ and thus

$$\langle |\nu|_2, f_1 + f_2 \rangle \leq \langle |\nu|_2, f_1 \rangle + \langle |\nu|_2, f_2 \rangle$$

by letting $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

Finally, we extend $|\nu|_2$ first to $C(K,\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\langle |\nu|_2, f\rangle := \left\langle |\nu|_2, f^+\right\rangle - \left\langle |\nu|_2, f^-\right\rangle \quad (f \in C(K,\mathbb{R})),$$

and then to $C(K)$ by

$$\langle |\nu|_2, f\rangle := \langle |\nu|_2, \operatorname{Re} f\rangle + i \,\langle |\nu|_2, \operatorname{Im} f\rangle \quad (f \in C(K)).$$

It is straightforward to check that in this way $|\nu|_2$ becomes linear on $C(K)$. The boundedness of $|\nu|_2$ follows from

$$\langle |\nu|_2, f\rangle \leq \langle |\nu|_2, \|f\|_\infty \mathbb{1}\rangle = \|f\|_\infty \|\nu\| \quad (0 \leq f \in C(K)).$$

□

The notation $|\nu|_2$ for a functional $\nu \in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)'$ and a measure $\nu \in M(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ is justified by the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. *The following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M(K,\mathbb{C}^N) \arrow[r, "\tilde{d}"] \arrow[d, "|\cdot|_2"] & C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)' \arrow[d, "|\cdot|_2"] \\
M(K) \arrow[r, "d"] & C(K)'
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Let $\nu \in M(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ and $0 \leq f \in C(K)$. Since both $C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ and the set of Borel measurable step functions $K \to \mathbb{C}^N$ are dense in $L^2(K,\mathbb{C}^N,\mu)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle |\tilde{d}\nu|_2, f\right\rangle &= \sup\left\{\left|\left\langle \tilde{d}\nu, h\right\rangle\right| : \|h(\cdot)\|_2 \leq f\right\} \\
&= \sup\left\{\left|\left\langle \tilde{d}\nu, h\right\rangle\right| : 0 \leq \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \beta_j \mathbb{1}_{E_j} \leq f, \bigsqcup_j E_j = K, \|h(\cdot)\|_2 \leq \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \beta_j \mathbb{1}_{E_j}\right\} \\
&= \sup\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j,l\in\mathbb{N}} \alpha_{j,l}^{(i)} \nu_i(E_{j,l})\right| : \begin{array}{c} 0 \leq \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \beta_j \mathbb{1}_{E_j} \leq f, \bigsqcup_j E_j = K, \\ \sum_{j,l\in\mathbb{N}} \|\alpha_{j,l}\|_2 \mathbb{1}_{E_{j,l}} \leq \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \beta_j \mathbb{1}_{E_j}, \bigsqcup_l E_{j,l} = E_j \end{array}\right\} \\
&= \sup\left\{\left|\sum_{j,l\in\mathbb{N}} \langle \alpha_{j,l}, \nu(E_{j,l})\rangle_2\right| : \begin{array}{c} 0 \leq \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \beta_j \mathbb{1}_{E_j} \leq f, \bigsqcup_j E_j = K, \\ \sum_{j,l\in\mathbb{N}} \|\alpha_{j,l}\|_2 \mathbb{1}_{E_{j,l}} \leq \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \beta_j \mathbb{1}_{E_j}, \bigsqcup_l E_{j,l} = E_j \end{array}\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Under the condition $\|\alpha_{j,l}\|_2 \leq \beta_j$ for all $j,l \in \mathbb{N}$, the expression $\left|\sum_{j,l\in\mathbb{N}} \langle \alpha_{j,l}, \nu(E_{j,l})\rangle_2\right|$ becomes maximal if $\alpha_{j,l} = \beta_j \frac{\nu(E_{j,l})}{\|\nu(E_{j,l})\|_2}$ for all $j,l \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle |\tilde{d\nu}|_2, f\right\rangle &= \sup\left\{\sum_{j,l\in\mathbb{N}} \beta_j \|\nu(E_{j,l})\|_2 : \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \beta_j \mathbb{1}_{E_j} \leq f, \bigsqcup_j E_j = K, \bigsqcup_l E_{j,l} = E_j\right\}\\ &= \sup\left\{\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \beta_j |\nu|_2(E_j) : \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \beta_j \mathbb{1}_{E_j} \leq f, \bigsqcup_j E_j = K\right\}\\ &= \sup\left\{\int_K \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \beta_j \mathbb{1}_{E_j} d|\nu|_2 : \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \beta_j \mathbb{1}_{E_j} \leq f, \bigsqcup_j E_j = K\right\}\\ &= \int_K f\, d|\nu|_2 = \langle d|\nu|_2, f\rangle\end{aligned}$$

and thus $|\tilde{d\nu}|_2 = d|\nu|_2$. □

By virtue of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.5 we shall identify $M(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ with $C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)'$ and we will use the same notation $|\nu|_2$ for a measure $\nu \in M(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ and a functional $\nu \in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)'$ without explicitly distinguishing these two objects.

We now return to the situation of the beginning of this section and characterize the mean ergodicity of $\gamma\mathcal{S}$ for a continuous cocycle $\gamma \in \Gamma(G\times K, U(N))$. For a function $h \in L^2(K,\mathbb{C}^N,\mu)$ we denote by $hd\mu \in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)'$ the functional defined by

$$\langle hd\mu, f\rangle := \langle h, f\rangle_{L^2(K,\mathbb{C}^N,\mu)} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_K \overline{h_i(x)} f_i(x) d\mu(x) \quad (f \in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)).$$

Lemma 2.6. *Let the action of G on K be uniquely ergodic with invariant measure μ. If $\gamma : G\times K \to U(N)$ is a continuous cocycle, then the map*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L^2(K,\mathbb{C}^N,\mu) \supset \mathrm{Fix}(\gamma\mathcal{S}_2)^* & \to & \mathrm{Fix}(\gamma\mathcal{S})' \subset C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)' \\ h & \mapsto & hd\mu \end{array}$$

is linear and bijective.

Proof. To see that the map is well-defined, take $h \in \mathrm{Fix}(\gamma\mathcal{S}_2)^*$. Then for all $f \in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ and $g \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle (\gamma(g,\cdot)S_g)'(hd\mu), f\right\rangle &= \langle hd\mu, \gamma(g,\cdot)S_g f\rangle = \langle h, \gamma(g,\cdot)S_{g,2} f\rangle_{L^2(K,\mathbb{C}^N,\mu)}\\ &= \langle (\gamma(g,\cdot)S_{g,2})^* h, f\rangle_{L^2(K,\mathbb{C}^N,\mu)} = \langle h, f\rangle_{L^2(K,\mathbb{C}^N,\mu)}\\ &= \langle hd\mu, f\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

yielding $hd\mu \in \mathrm{Fix}(\gamma\mathcal{S})'$.

As linearity and injectivity are clear it remains to show surjectivity. Let $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N) \in \operatorname{Fix}(\gamma\mathcal{S})'$. We claim that $|\nu|_2 \le S_g'|\nu|_2$ for all $g \in G$. Indeed, if $0 \le f \in C(K)$ and $g \in G$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle|\nu|_2, f\rangle &= \sup_{\|h(\cdot)\|_2 \le f} |\langle \nu, h\rangle| = \sup_{\|h(\cdot)\|_2 \le f} |\langle \nu, \gamma(g,\cdot)S_g h\rangle| \le \sup_{\|h(\cdot)\|_2 \le f} \langle |\nu|_2, \|\gamma(g,\cdot)S_g h(\cdot)\|_2\rangle \\ &= \sup_{\|h(\cdot)\|_2 \le f} \langle|\nu|_2, S_g\|h(\cdot)\|_2\rangle = \langle|\nu|_2, S_g f\rangle = \left\langle S_g'|\nu|_2, f\right\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

since $\gamma(g,x)$ is unitary for all $x \in K$.

If $0 \le f \in C(K)$ and $g \in G$, then

$$0 \le \left\langle S_g'|\nu|_2 - |\nu|_2, f\right\rangle \le \left\langle S_g'|\nu|_2 - |\nu|_2, \|f\|_\infty \mathbb{1}\right\rangle = \|f\|_\infty \left\langle |\nu|_2, S_g\mathbb{1} - \mathbb{1}\right\rangle = 0$$

and thus $|\nu|_2 \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}' = \mathbb{C}\cdot\mu$ by unique ergodicity. As a consequence of Theorem 2.5 and since $|\nu_i| \le |\nu|_2$ the measures ν_i are thus absolutely continuous with respect to μ for each $i = 1, \dots, N$. The Radon-Nikodým Theorem then implies the existence of functions $h_i \in L^\infty(K,\mu)$ such that $\nu_i = h_i d\mu$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$. Defining $h := (h_1, \dots, h_N) \in L^\infty(K, \mathbb{C}^N, \mu)$ we obtain $\nu = h d\mu$ and the same calculation as above shows that $h \in \operatorname{Fix}(\gamma\mathcal{S}_2)^*$. □

Lemma 2.7. *Let the action of a right amenable semigroup G on K be ergodic with respect to some invariant measure μ. If $\gamma : G \times K \to U(N)$ is a continuous cocycle, then* $\dim \operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}_2 \le N$.

Proof. Suppose $\dim\operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}_2 > N$ and take $N+1$ linearly independent functions $f_1, \dots, f_N, h \in \operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}_2$. We may assume that $\|f_i(\cdot)\|_2 = \mathbb{1}$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ since if $f_i \in \operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}_2$ then $\|f_i(\cdot)\|_2 \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}_2$ and thus $\|f_i(\cdot)\|_2$ is constant by ergodicity. Moreover, by a pointwise application of the Gram-Schmidt process, we may assume that $\langle f_i(x), f_j(x)\rangle_2 = \delta_{ij}$ for μ-a.e. $x \in K$ and each $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Hence h can be written as

$$h(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \langle h(x), f_i(x)\rangle_2 f_i(x) \qquad \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in K.$$

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ we define the function $h \bullet f_i$ by $h \bullet f_i(x) := \langle h(x), f_i(x)\rangle_2$ for μ-a.e. $x \in K$ and claim that $h \bullet f_i$ is constant. Indeed, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, $g \in G$ and μ-a.e. $x \in K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}S_{g,2}(h \bullet f_i)(x) &= \langle h(gx), f_i(gx)\rangle_2 = \left\langle \gamma(g,x)^{-1}h(x), \gamma(g,x)^{-1}f_i(x)\right\rangle_2 \\ &= \langle h(x), f_i(x)\rangle_2 = h \bullet f_i(x)\end{aligned}$$

since $\gamma(g,x)$ is unitary. Hence $h \bullet f_i \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}_2$ and thus $h \bullet f_i \in \mathbb{C}\cdot\mathbb{1}$ by ergodicity. Hence h is a linear combination of $f_1, \dots, f_N$ contradicting the linear independence. □

The following theorem is the analogue of Theorem 1.7 for cocycles and generalizes Theorem 4 of Walters [26] to amenable semigroups.

Theorem 2.8. *Let the action of a (right) amenable semigroup G on K be uniquely ergodic with invariant measure μ. If $\gamma : G \times K \to U(N)$ is a continuous cocycle, then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(1) $\operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}_2 \subset C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$.

(2) $\gamma\mathcal{S}$ is mean ergodic on $C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ with mean ergodic projection P.

(3) $\operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}$ *separates* $\operatorname{Fix}(\gamma\mathcal{S})'$*.*

(4) $C(K,\mathbb{C}^N) = \operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I-\gamma\mathcal{S})$*.*

(5) $A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}}f$ *converges weakly (to a fixed point of* $\gamma\mathcal{S}$*) for some/every strong (right)* $\gamma\mathcal{S}$*-ergodic net* $(A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}})$ *and all* $f \in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$*.*

(6) $A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}}f$ *converges strongly (to a fixed point of* $\gamma\mathcal{S}$*) for some/every strong (right)* $\gamma\mathcal{S}$*-ergodic net* $(A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}})$ *and all* $f \in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$*.*

The limit P *of the nets* $(A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}})$ *in the strong (weak, resp.) operator topology is the mean ergodic projection of* $\gamma\mathcal{S}$ *mapping* $C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ *onto* $\operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}$ *along* $\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I-\gamma\mathcal{S})$*.*

Proof. The equivalence of the statements (2) to (6) follows directly from the mean ergodic theorem proved in [25, Theorem 1.7, Corollary 1.8].

Notice that $\operatorname{Fix}(\gamma\mathcal{S}_2)^* = \operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}_2$ since $\gamma\mathcal{S}_2$ consists of contractions on $L^2(K,\mathbb{C}^N,\mu)$.

(1)⇒(3): If $0 \neq \nu \in \operatorname{Fix}(\gamma\mathcal{S})'$, then $\nu = h d\mu$ by Lemma 2.6 for some $0 \neq h \in \operatorname{Fix}(\gamma\mathcal{S}_2)^* = \operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}_2$. Since $\operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}_2 \subset C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ by (1), this yields $h \in \operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}$ and

$$\langle \nu, h\rangle = \|h\|^2_{L^2(K,\mathbb{C}^N,\mu)} > 0.$$

(3)⇒(1): Suppose $f \in \operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}_2 \setminus C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$. By Lemma 2.7 the space $\operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}_2$ is finite dimensional and by Lemma 2.6 we thus have

$$\dim \operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S} < \dim \operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}_2 = \dim \operatorname{Fix}(\gamma\mathcal{S})'.$$

Hence $\operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}$ does not separate $\operatorname{Fix}(\gamma\mathcal{S})'$. □

The following theorem characterizes mean ergodicity of $\gamma\mathcal{S}$ on the closed invariant subspace $Y_f := \overline{\operatorname{lin}}\gamma\mathcal{S}f$ for some $f \in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma(G\times K, U(N))$. It generalizes Theorem 8.1 of Lenz [18] to amenable semigroups.

Theorem 2.9. *Let the action of a (right) amenable semigroup* G *on* K *be uniquely ergodic with invariant measure* μ*. If* $\gamma : G\times K \to U(N)$ *is a continuous cocycle and* $f \in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ *is given, then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(1) $P_{\operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}_2} f \in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$*.*

(2) $\gamma\mathcal{S}$ *is mean ergodic on* Y_f *with mean ergodic projection* P*.*

(3) $\operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}|_{Y_f}$ *separates* $\operatorname{Fix}(\gamma\mathcal{S})|'_{Y_f}$*.*

(4) $f \in \operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I-\gamma\mathcal{S})$*.*

(5) $A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}}f$ *converges weakly (to a fixed point of* $\gamma\mathcal{S}$*) for some/every strong (right)* $\gamma\mathcal{S}$*-ergodic net* $(A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}})$*.*

(6) $A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}}f$ *converges strongly (to a fixed point of* $\gamma\mathcal{S}$*) for some/every strong (right)* $\gamma\mathcal{S}$*-ergodic net* $(A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}})$*.*

The limit P *of the nets* $A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}}$ *in the strong (weak, resp.) operator topology on* Y_f *is the mean ergodic projection of* $\gamma\mathcal{S}|_{Y_f}$ *mapping* Y_f *onto* $\operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}|_{Y_f}$ *along* $\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I-\gamma\mathcal{S}|_{Y_f})$*.*

Proof. The equivalence of the statements (2) to (6) follows directly from [25, Proposition 1.11].

(6)⇒(1): By von Neumann's Ergodic Theorem $P_{\operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}_2}f$ is the limit of $A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}}f$ in $L^2(K,\mathbb{C}^N,\mu)$. If $A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}}f$ converges strongly in $C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ then the limits coincide almost everywhere and hence $P_{\operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}_2}f$ has a continuous representative.

(1)⇒(4): Let $\nu \in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)'$ vanish on $\operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I-\gamma\mathcal{S})$. Then in particular $\langle \nu, h\rangle = \langle \nu, \gamma(g,\cdot)S_g h\rangle = \langle (\gamma(g,\cdot)S_g)'\nu, h\rangle$ for all $h \in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ and $g \in G$ and thus $\nu \in \operatorname{Fix}(\gamma\mathcal{S})'$. Hence by Lemma 2.6 there exists $h \in \operatorname{Fix}(\gamma\mathcal{S}_2)^*$ such that $\nu = h d\mu$. Let $(A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}_2})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}$ be a strong $\gamma\mathcal{S}_2$-ergodic net on $L^2(K,\mathbb{C}^N\mu)$. Then $(A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}_2})^*h = h$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ and von Neumann's Ergodic Theorem implies

$$\langle \nu, f\rangle = \langle h, f\rangle_{L^2} = \left\langle h, A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}_2} f\right\rangle_{L^2} \to \langle h, \underbrace{P_{\operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}_2}f}_{\in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)}\rangle_{L^2} = \langle \nu, \underbrace{P_{\operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}_2}f}_{\in \operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}}\rangle = 0.$$

Hence the Hahn-Banach Theorem yields $f \in \operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}\oplus\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I-\gamma\mathcal{S})$, since $\operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}\oplus\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\operatorname{rg}(I-\gamma\mathcal{S})$ is closed by Theorem 1.9 in Krengel [17, Chapter 2]. ☐

Analogously to Corollary 1.10 we consider the semigroups $\gamma\mathcal{S}$ for $\gamma \in \Lambda \subset \Gamma(G\times K, Z)$, where Z is a compact subgroup of $U(N)$, and ask when $A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}}f$ converges uniformly in $\gamma\in\Lambda$ for a uniform family of right ergodic nets $\{(A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} : \gamma \in \Lambda\}$ on $C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ and a given $f \in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$. The following corollary is a cocycle version of Theorem 2 in [19] for amenable semigroups.

Corollary 2.10. *Let the action of a right amenable semigroup G on K be uniquely ergodic with invariant measure μ. Assume that $\Lambda \subset \Gamma(G\times K, Z)$ is compact and consider the semigroups $\gamma\mathcal{S}$ on $C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ for each $\gamma\in\Lambda$. If $\{(A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} : \gamma\in\Lambda\}$ is a uniform family of right ergodic nets on $C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ and if $f\in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ satisfies*

(1) $P_{\operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}_2}f \in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ for each $\gamma\in\Lambda$ and

(2) $\Lambda\to\mathbb{R}_+, \gamma\mapsto \|A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}}f - P_{\operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}_2}f\|$ is continuous for each $\alpha\in\mathcal{A}$,

then

$$\lim_\alpha \sup_{\gamma\in\Lambda} \|A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}}f - P_{\operatorname{Fix}\gamma\mathcal{S}_2}f\| = 0.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.9 and our hypotheses the semigroup $\gamma\mathcal{S}$ is mean ergodic on $\overline{\operatorname{lin}}\gamma\mathcal{S}f$ for each $\gamma\in\Lambda$. The result then follows directly from Theorem 2.4 in [25]. ☐

In order to show the analogue of Corollary 1.11 for cocycles we need a lemma.

Lemma 2.11. *Let H be a locally compact group with left Haar measure $|\cdot|$ and suppose that $G\subset H$ is a subsemigroup acting on K such that there exists a Følner net $(F_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}$ in G. Consider the semigroups $\gamma\mathcal{S}$ on $C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ for each γ in a compact set $\Lambda\subset\Gamma(G\times K,Z)$. Then $\{(A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} : \gamma\in\Lambda\}$ defined by*

$$A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}}f := \frac{1}{|F_\alpha|}\int_{F_\alpha} \gamma(g,\cdot)S_g f\, dg \quad (f\in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N))$$

is a uniform family of right ergodic nets.

Proof. (1): Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f_1, \dots, f_m \in C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$. Since $\Lambda \subset \Gamma(G \times K, Z)$ is compact the family $\{g \mapsto \gamma(g, \cdot) S_g f_k : \gamma \in \Lambda\}$ is uniformly equicontinuous on the compact set F_α for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Hence for each $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ we can choose an open neighbourhood U_k of the unity of H satisfying

$$g, h \in G, \;\; h^{-1} g \in U_k \;\; \Rightarrow \;\; \sup_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \|\gamma(g, \cdot) S_g f_k - \gamma(h, \cdot) S_g f_k\| < \varepsilon.$$

Then $U := \bigcap_{k=1}^m U_k$ is still an open neighbourhood of unity. Since F_α is compact there exists $g_1, \dots, g_n \in F_\alpha$ such that $F_\alpha \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n g_j U$. Defining $V_1 := g_1 U \cap F_\alpha$ and $V_j := (g_j U \cap F_\alpha) \setminus V_{j-1}$ for $j = 2, \dots, n$ we obtain a disjoint union $F_\alpha = \bigcup_{j=1}^n V_j$. Hence for all $\gamma \in \Lambda$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \frac{1}{|F_\alpha|} \int_{F_\alpha} \gamma(g, \cdot) S_g f_k \, dg - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|V_j|}{|F_\alpha|} \gamma(g_j, \cdot) S_{g_j} f_k \right\| & \\
\leq \frac{1}{|F_\alpha|} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{V_j} \underbrace{\|\gamma(g, \cdot) S_g f_k - \gamma(g_j, \cdot) S_{g_j} f_k\|}_{<\varepsilon} \, dg & \\
< \frac{1}{|F_\alpha|} \sum_{j=1}^n |V_j| \varepsilon = \varepsilon. &
\end{aligned}$$

(2): Let $g \in G$ and $f \in C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$. Then

$$\|\gamma(g, \cdot) S_g f\| = \sup_{x \in K} \|\gamma(g, x) f(gx)\|_2 = \sup_{x \in K} \|f(gx)\|_2 \leq \|f\|,$$

since $\gamma(g, x)$ is unitary for all $x \in K$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \left\| \frac{1}{|F_\alpha|} \int_{F_\alpha} \gamma(g, \cdot)) S_g f - \gamma(hg, \cdot) S_{hg} f \, dg \right\| &\leq \sup_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{|F_\alpha|} \int_{F_\alpha \triangle h F_\alpha} \|\gamma(g, \cdot) S_g f\| \\
&\leq \frac{|F_\alpha \triangle h F_\alpha|}{|F_\alpha|} \|f\| \to 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

For actions of the semigroup $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ and the Følner sequence $F_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ the following corollary has been proved by Santos and Walkden [22, Corollary 4.4] generalizing a previous result of Walters [26, Theorem 5], who considered the case $N = 1$.

Corollary 2.12. *Let H be a locally compact group with left Haar measure $|\cdot|$ and suppose that $G \subset H$ is a subsemigroup such that there exists a Følner net $(F_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ in G. If the action of G on K is uniquely ergodic with invariant measure μ and if $f \in C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ satisfies $P_{\mathrm{Fix}\, \gamma \mathcal{S}_2} f = 0$ for all γ in a compact set $\Lambda \subset \Gamma(G \times K, Z)$, then*

$$\lim_\alpha \sup_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \left\| \frac{1}{|F_\alpha|} \int_{F_\alpha} \gamma(g, \cdot) S_g f \; dg \right\| = 0.$$

Proof. If (F_α) is a Følner net in G, then G is right amenable. By Lemma 2.11 the set $\{(A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}})_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}} : \gamma \in \Lambda\}$ defined by

$$A_\alpha^{\gamma\mathcal{S}}\psi := \frac{1}{|F_\alpha|}\int_{F_\alpha}\gamma(g,\cdot)S_g\psi\,dg \quad (\psi\in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N))$$

is a uniform family of right ergodic nets on $C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$. If $P_{\mathrm{Fix}\,\gamma\mathcal{S}_2}f = 0$ for all $\gamma\in\Lambda$, then the conditions (1) and (2) of Corollary 2.10 are satisfied since the map $\gamma\mapsto\frac{1}{|F_\alpha|}\int_{F_\alpha}\gamma(g,\cdot)S_gf\,dg$ is continuous. Hence

$$\lim_\alpha\sup_{\gamma\in\Lambda}\left\|\frac{1}{|F_\alpha|}\int_{F_\alpha}\gamma(g,\cdot)S_gf\;dg\right\| = 0.$$

□

3. Mean ergodicity on group extensions

In this section we characterize mean ergodicity of semigroups of Koopman operators associated to skew product actions on compact group extensions.

Let G be an amenable semigroup acting on K and assume that μ is a G-invariant probability measure on K. Suppose that Ω is a compact group with Haar measure η and $\gamma : G\times K\to\Omega$ is a continuous cocycle. We define the *skew product action* of G on $K\times\Omega$ by

$$g(x,\omega) := (gx,\omega\gamma(g,x)),\quad (x,\omega)\in K\times\Omega.$$

The cocycle equation implies that this is indeed a semigroup action and one checks that the product measure $\mu\times\eta$ on $K\times\Omega$ is G-invariant. We denote by $\mathcal{T} = \{T_g : g\in G\}$ the Koopman representation of this action on $C(K\times\Omega)$ and by $\mathcal{T}_2 = \{T_{g,2} : g\in G\}$ its extension to $L^2(K\times\Omega,\mu\times\eta)$.

In order to study the mean ergodicity of $\mathcal{T}$, we need some harmonic analysis. Denote by $\widehat{\Omega}$ the set of irreducible representations of Ω and by $\mathrm{Rep}(\Omega,N)$ the set of irreducible N-dimensional representations of Ω (see e.g. Folland [13, Chapter 3.1]). Notice that $\widehat{\Omega} = \bigcup_{N\in\mathbb{N}}\mathrm{Rep}(\Omega,N)$ by [9, Theorem 7.2.4]. If $\pi\in\mathrm{Rep}(\Omega,N)$, we can choose an inner product on $\mathbb{C}^N$ such that π becomes unitary (see [9, Lemma 7.1.1]). Hence we may always assume that each finite dimensional representation is unitary. For $\pi\in\mathrm{Rep}(\Omega,N)$ and for a fixed orthonormal basis $\{e_1,\dots,e_N\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^N$ the maps $\pi_{i,j}\in C(\Omega)$ defined by $\pi_{i,j}(\omega) = \langle\pi(\omega)e_i,e_j\rangle$ are called the *matrix elements* of π.

On $C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ we consider the Koopman representation $\mathcal{S} = \{S_g : g\in G\}$ of G. For $g\in G$ the operator $\pi\circ\gamma(g,\cdot)S_g$ is defined by

$$\pi\circ\gamma(g,\cdot)S_gf(x) = \pi(\gamma(g,x))f(gx),\quad f\in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N), x\in K.$$

Denote by $(\pi\circ\gamma)\mathcal{S} := \{\pi\circ\gamma(g,\cdot)S_g : g\in G\}$ the corresponding semigroup and by $(\pi\circ\gamma)\mathcal{S}_2$ its extension to $L^2(K,\mathbb{C}^N,\mu)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a right amenable semigroup acting on K and suppose that Ω is a compact group and $\gamma : G\times K\to\Omega$ a continuous cocycle. For the Koopman representation $\mathcal{S}$ of G on $C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ for each $N\in\mathbb{N}$ and the Koopman representation $\mathcal{T}$ of the skew product action on $C(K\times\Omega)$ the following assertions are equivalent.*

(1) $\mathcal{T}$ is mean ergodic on $C(K\times\Omega)$.

(2) $(\pi \circ \gamma)\mathcal{S}$ *is mean ergodic on* $C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ *for each* $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}(\Omega, N)$ *and each* $N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. For a fixed orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^N$ every $f \in C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ can be written as $f = \sum_{i=1}^N f_i e_i$ for functions $f_i \in C(K)$. Therefore, for each $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}(\Omega, N)$, $(x, \omega) \in K \times \Omega$ and $g \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N T_g(f_i \otimes \pi_{ij})(x, \omega) e_j &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N f_i(gx) \langle \pi(\omega\gamma(g, x)) e_i, e_j \rangle e_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \pi(\omega)\pi(\gamma(g, x)) f_i(gx) e_i \\ &= \pi(\omega)\pi(\gamma(g, x)) S_g f(x).\end{aligned}$$

(1)⇒(2): Take $P \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{T}$ such that $T_g P = P T_g = P$ for all $g \in G$ and $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}(\Omega, N)$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Define the operator Q on $C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ by

$$Qf(x) := \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N P(f_i \otimes \pi_{ij})(x, 1_\Omega) e_j, \quad (f \in C(K, \mathbb{C}^N), x \in K)$$

where 1_Ω is the unit element of Ω. We claim that Q is the mean ergodic projection of $(\pi \circ \gamma)\mathcal{S}$. Since $P \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}\mathcal{T}$, there exists a net $(\sum_{n=1}^{N_\alpha} \lambda_{n,\alpha} T_{g_n})_\alpha \subset \mathrm{co}\,\mathcal{T}$ with $PF = \lim_\alpha \sum_{n=1}^{N_\alpha} \lambda_{n,\alpha} T_{g_n} F$ for all $F \in C(K \times \Omega)$. For every $f \in C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}Qf(x) &= \lim_\alpha \sum_{n=1}^{N_\alpha} \lambda_{n,\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N T_{g_n}(f_i \otimes \pi_{ij})(x, 1_\Omega) e_j \\ &= \lim_\alpha \sum_{n=1}^{N_\alpha} \lambda_{n,\alpha} \pi(1_\Omega)\pi(\gamma(g_n, x)) S_{g_n} f(x),\end{aligned}$$

where the limit is uniform in $x \in K$. This yields

$$Qf = \lim_\alpha \sum_{n=1}^{N_\alpha} \lambda_{n,\alpha} \pi \circ \gamma(g_n, \cdot) S_{g_n} f$$

for all $f \in C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ and hence $Q \in \overline{\mathrm{co}}(\pi \circ \gamma)\mathcal{S}$.

To see that Q is a null element of $\overline{\mathrm{co}}(\pi\circ\gamma)\mathcal{S}$ let $g\in G$ and $f\in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(\pi\circ\gamma(g,\cdot)S_g f) &= \lim_\alpha \sum_{n=1}^{N_\alpha} \lambda_{n,\alpha}(\pi\circ\gamma(g_k,\cdot)S_{g_k})\pi\circ\gamma(g,\cdot)S_g f\\
&= \lim_\alpha \sum_{n=1}^{N_\alpha} \lambda_{n,\alpha}\pi\circ\gamma(gg_k,\cdot)S_{gg_k} f\\
&= \lim_\alpha \sum_{n=1}^{N_\alpha} \lambda_{n,\alpha}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} T_{gg_k}(f_i\otimes\pi_{ij})(\cdot,1_\Omega)e_j\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N} \underbrace{PT_g}_{=P}(f_i\otimes\pi_{ij})(\cdot,1_\Omega)e_j\\
&= Qf.
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, one verifies $\pi\circ\gamma(g,\cdot)S_g Qf = Qf$ and hence Q is a null element of $\overline{\mathrm{co}}(\pi\circ\gamma)\mathcal{S}$.

(2)⇒(1): Take a strong right $\mathcal{T}$-ergodic net $(A_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}$ on $C(K\times\Omega)$ with $A_\alpha\in\mathrm{co}\,\mathcal{T}$ for all $\alpha\in\mathcal{A}$ (cf. the proof of Proposition 1.3. and Theorem 1.4. in [25]). So suppose that $A_\alpha=\sum_{n=1}^{N_\alpha}\lambda_{n,\alpha}T_{g_n}\in\mathrm{co}\,\mathcal{T}$ for all $\alpha\in\mathcal{A}$. For $\mathcal{T}$ to be mean ergodic we need to show that $(A_\alpha F)$ converges strongly to a fixed point of $\mathcal{T}$ for every $F\in C(K\times\Omega)$. By [13, Theorem 5.11] the linear span of the matrix elements is dense in $C(\Omega)$. Since $C(K)\otimes C(\Omega)$ is dense in $C(K\times\Omega)$ and the A_α are linear and uniformly bounded, it thus suffices to show that $A_\alpha(f\otimes\pi_{ij})$ converges for every $f\in C(K)$, $\pi\in\mathrm{Rep}(\Omega,N)$ and $i,j\in\{1,\dots,N\}$ for each $N\in\mathbb{N}$. So take $f\in C(K)$, $\pi\in\mathrm{Rep}(\Omega,N)$ and fix an orthonormal basis $\{e_1,\dots,e_N\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^N$. For each $(x,\omega)\in K\times\Omega$ and $i,j\in\{1,\dots,N\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_\alpha(f\otimes\pi_{ij})(x,\omega) &= \sum_{n=1}^{N_\alpha}\lambda_{n,\alpha}(f\otimes\pi_{ij})(g_n.x,\omega\gamma(g_n,x))\\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{N_\alpha}\lambda_{n,\alpha}f(g_n.x)\left\langle\pi(\omega)\pi(\gamma(g_n,x))e_i,e_j\right\rangle\\
&= \left\langle \pi(\omega)\underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{N_\alpha}\lambda_{n,\alpha}(\pi(\gamma(g_n,\cdot))S_{g_n})}_{=:B_\alpha} f^{(i)}(x), e_j\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where $f^{(i)}\in C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ is defined by $f^{(i)}(x)=f(x)e_i$. One verifies that the net $(B_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathcal{A}}$ forms a strong right $(\pi\circ\gamma)\mathcal{S}$-ergodic net. Since by hypothesis $(\pi\circ\gamma)\mathcal{S}$ is mean ergodic, it follows from Theorem 2.8 that $B_\alpha f^{(i)}$ converges in $C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ to a fixed point $h^{(i)}$ of $(\pi\circ\gamma)\mathcal{S}$ for each $i=1,\dots,N$. Defining the function $h_{ij}\in C(K\times\Omega)$ by $h_{ij}(x,\omega):=\left\langle\pi(\omega)h^{(i)}(x),e_j\right\rangle$, we thus obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|A_\alpha(f\otimes\pi_{ij})-h_{ij}\|_{C(K\times\Omega)} &= \sup_{(x,\omega)\in K\times\Omega}\left|\left\langle \pi(\omega)\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_\alpha}\lambda_{n,\alpha}(\pi(\gamma(g_n,\cdot))S_{g_n})f^{(i)}(x)-h^{(i)}(x)\right),e_j\right\rangle\right| \\
&\le \left\|\sum_{n=1}^{N_\alpha}\lambda_{n,\alpha}(\pi(\gamma(g_n,\cdot))S_{g_n})f^{(i)}-h^{(i)}\right\|_{C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)}\|e_j\|_2\to 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\pi(\omega)$ is unitary for each $\omega\in\Omega$. Hence the net $A_\alpha(f\otimes\pi_{ij})$ converges in $C(K\times\Omega)$ to the fixed point h_{ij} of $\mathcal{T}$. □

Combining Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 2.8 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Let the action of a right amenable semigroup G on K be uniquely ergodic with invariant measure μ and suppose that Ω is a compact group and $\gamma: G\times K\to\Omega$ a continuous cocycle. For the Koopman representation $\mathcal{S}_2$ of G on $L^2(K,\mathbb{C}^N,\mu)$ for each $N\in\mathbb{N}$ and the Koopman representation $\mathcal{T}$ of the skew product action on $C(K\times\Omega)$ the following assertions are equivalent.*

1. *$\mathcal{T}$ is mean ergodic on $C(K\times\Omega)$.*
2. *$\operatorname{Fix}(\pi\circ\gamma)\mathcal{S}_2\subset C(K,\mathbb{C}^N)$ for each $\pi\in\operatorname{Rep}(\Omega,N)$ and each $N\in\mathbb{N}$.*

For the proof of the theorem below we need a characterization of the ergodicity of the above skew product action. For this purpose we extend Theorem 2.1 of Keynes and Newton [16] to semigroup actions.

The trivial 1-dimensional representation $\omega\mapsto 1$ on Ω is denoted by $\mathbb{1}$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let the action of a semitopological semigroup G on K be ergodic with respect to some invariant measure μ and suppose that Ω is a compact group with Haar measure η and $\gamma: G\times K\to\Omega$ a continuous cocycle. For the Koopman representation $\mathcal{S}_2$ of G on $L^2(K,\mathbb{C}^N,\mu)$ for each $N\in\mathbb{N}$ and the Koopman representation $\mathcal{T}_2$ of the skew product action on $L^2(K\times\Omega,\mu\times\eta)$ the following assertions are equivalent.*

1. *$\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{T}_2=\mathbb{C}\cdot\mathbb{1}$.*
2. *$\operatorname{Fix}(\pi\circ\gamma)\mathcal{S}_2=\{0\}$ for each $\pi\in\widehat{\Omega}\setminus\{\mathbb{1}\}$.*

Proof. (1)⇒(2): Assume (1) and suppose there exists $0\neq f\in\operatorname{Fix}(\pi\circ\gamma)\mathcal{S}_2$ for some N-dimensional representation $\pi\in\widehat{\Omega}\setminus\{\mathbb{1}\}$. Fix an orthonormal basis $\{e_1,\dots,e_N\}$ of $\mathbb{C}^N$ and define $F_j\in L^2(K\times\Omega,\mu\times\eta)$ by $F_j(x,\omega)=\langle\pi(\omega)f(x),e_j\rangle$ for each $j\in\{1,\dots,N\}$. Then for each $j\in\{1,\dots,N\}$, $g\in G$ and $\mu\times\eta$-a.e. $(x,\omega)\in K\times\Omega$ we have

$$
T_{g,2}F_j(x,\omega)=F_j(gx,\omega\gamma(g,x))=\langle\pi(\omega)\pi(\gamma(g,x))f(gx),e_j\rangle=\langle\pi(\omega)f(x),e_j\rangle=F_j(x,\omega).
$$

Hence $F_j \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{T}_2$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and thus each F_j is constant. Since $f \in L^2(K, \mathbb{C}^N, \mu)$, we can write f as $f = \sum_{i=1}^N f_i e_i$ for $f_i \in L^2(K, \mu)$. By Fubini's Theorem we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{K\times\Omega} F_j(x,\omega)\, d\mu\times\eta(x,\omega) &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{K\times\Omega} f_i(x)\, \langle \pi(\omega)e_i, e_j\rangle\ d\mu\times\eta(x,\omega)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_K f_i(x)\, d\mu(x) \underbrace{\int_\Omega \pi_{ij}(\omega)\, d\eta(\omega)}_{\langle \pi_{ij}, \mathbb{1}\rangle_{L^2(\Omega,\eta)}} = 0\end{aligned}$$

for all $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, since $\pi \neq \mathbb{1}$ (see [9, Theorem 7.2.1]). Hence $F_j = 0$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and thus $f = 0$, which contradicts the assumption.

(2)⇒(1): Let $F \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{T}_2$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $\pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\Omega, N)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and define

$$h_{\pi,i}(x) = \int_\Omega F(x,\omega)\pi(\omega)^{-1}e_i\, d\eta(\omega).$$

Then for every $g \in G$ and μ-a.e. $x \in K$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi\circ\gamma(g,\cdot)S_{g,2}h_{\pi,i}(x) &= \pi(\gamma(g,x))\int_\Omega F(gx,\omega)\pi(\omega)^{-1}e_i\, d\eta(\omega)\\ &= \pi(\gamma(g,x))\int_\Omega F(gx,\omega\gamma(g,x))\pi(\gamma(g,x))^{-1}\pi(\omega)^{-1}e_i\, d\eta(\omega)\\ &= \int_\Omega F(x,\omega)\pi(\omega)^{-1}e_i\, d\eta(\omega) = h_{\pi,i}(x)\end{aligned}$$

by the invariance of the Haar measure η. Hence $h_{\pi,i} \in \operatorname{Fix}(\pi\circ\gamma)S_2 = \{0\}$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= \int_\Omega \left\langle F(x,\omega)\pi(\omega)^{-1}e_i, e_j\right\rangle d\eta(\omega)\\ &= \int_\Omega F(x,\omega)\overline{\pi_{ji}(\omega)}d\eta(\omega)\\ &= \langle F(x,\cdot), \pi_{ji}\rangle_{L^2(\Omega,\eta)}\end{aligned}$$

for each $\pi \in \operatorname{Rep}(\Omega, N) \setminus \{\mathbb{1}\}$, each $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and μ-a.e. $x \in K$. Hence $F(x,\cdot)$ is constant for μ-almost every $x \in K$ and thus there exists $f \in L^2(K,\mu)$ with $F = f \otimes \mathbb{1}$. Since $F \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{T}_2$ it follows that $f \in \operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}_2$ and by ergodicity f and consequently F is constant. ☐

The following result is due to Furstenberg [14, Proposition 3.10] in the case of an $\mathbb{N}$ action on a compact metric space K. Our proof does not use the Pointwise Ergodic Theorem and so-called generic points.

Theorem 3.4. *Let the action of a right amenable semigroup G on K be uniquely ergodic with invariant measure μ and suppose that Ω is a compact group with Haar measure η and $\gamma : G \times K \to \Omega$ a continuous cocycle. If the skew product action $(x,\omega) \mapsto (gx, \omega\gamma(g,x))$ on $K \times \Omega$ is ergodic with respect to the product measure $\mu \times \eta$, then it is uniquely ergodic.*

Proof. The ergodicity of the skew product action is equivalent to $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{T}_2 = \mathbb{C}\cdot\mathbb{1}$ and thus $\operatorname{Fix}(\pi\circ\gamma)\mathcal{S}_2 = \{0\}$ for all $\pi \in \widehat{\Omega}\setminus\{\mathbb{1}\}$ by Proposition 3.3. Since $\operatorname{Fix}\mathcal{S}_2 = \mathbb{C}\cdot\mathbb{1}$ on $L^2(K,\mu)$ this yields $\mathrm{Fix}(\pi \circ \gamma)\mathcal{S}_2 \subset C(K, \mathbb{C}^N)$ for all $\pi \in \mathrm{Rep}(\Omega, N)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\mathcal{T}$ is mean ergodic by Corollary 3.2 and thus uniquely ergodic by Proposition 1.2. □

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to Rainer Nagel and Pavel Zorin-Kranich for valuable comments and interesting discussions.

References

1. I. Assani, *Wiener Wintner Ergodic Theorems*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2003.
2. J. F. Berglund, H. D. Junghenn, and P. Milnes, *Analysis on Semigroups*, Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1989.
3. E. Bombieri and J. E. Taylor, *Which distributions of matter diffract? An initial investigation*, J. Physique, 47 (1986), pp. C3–19–C3–28. International Workshop on Aperiodic Crystals (Les Houches, 1986).
4. E. Bombieri and J. E. Taylor, *Quasicrystals, tilings, and algebraic number theory: some preliminary connections*, in The Legacy of Sonya Kovalevskaya (Cambridge, Mass., and Amherst, Mass., 1985), vol. 64 of Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 241–264.
5. M. M. Day, *Semigroups and amenability*, in Semigroups (Proc. Sympos., Wayne State Univ., Detroit, Mich., 1968), Academic Press, New York, 1969, pp. 5–53.
6. ———, *Normed Linear Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, third ed., 1973. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 21.
7. J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector Measures*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977. Mathematical Surveys, No. 15.
8. C. R. de Oliveira, *A proof of the dynamical version of the Bombieri-Taylor conjecture*, J. Math. Phys. 39 (1998), pp. 4335–4342.
9. A. Deitmar and S. Echterhoff, *Principles of Harmonic Analysis*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2009.
10. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators. I. General Theory*, With the assistance of W. G. Bade and R. G. Bartle. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 7, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, 1958.
11. W. F. Eberlein, *Abstract ergodic theorems and weak almost periodic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 67 (1949), pp. 217–240.
12. T. Eisner, B. Farkas, M. Haase, and R. Nagel, *Operator Theoretic Aspects of Ergodic Theory*, 2012. manuskript.
13. G. B. Folland, *A Course in Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
14. H. Furstenberg, *Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1981. M. B. Porter Lectures.
15. A. Hof, *On diffraction by aperiodic structures*, Comm. Math. Phys. 169 (1995), pp. 25–43.
16. H. B. Keynes and D. Newton, *Ergodic measures for non-abelian compact group extensions*, Compositio Math. 32 (1976), pp. 53–70.
17. U. Krengel, *Ergodic Theorems*, vol. 6 of de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1985.
18. D. Lenz, *Aperiodic order via dynamical systems: diffraction for sets of finite local complexity*, in Ergodic Theory, vol. 485 of Contemp. Math., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 91–112.
19. ———, *Continuity of eigenfunctions of uniquely ergodic dynamical systems and intensity of Bragg peaks*, Comm. Math. Phys. 287 (2009), pp. 225–258.
20. E. A. Robinson, Jr., *On uniform convergence in the Wiener-Wintner theorem*, J. London Math. Soc. 49 (1994), pp. 493–501.
21. W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, third ed., 1987.
22. S. I. Santos and C. Walkden, *Topological Wiener-Wintner ergodic theorems via non-abelian Lie group extensions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 27 (2007), pp. 1633–1650.
23. R. Satō, *On abstract mean ergodic theorems*, Tôhoku Math. J. 30 (1978), pp. 575–581.
24. H. H. Schaefer, *Banach Lattices and Positive Operators*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 215.

25. M. Schreiber, *Uniform families of ergodic operator nets*. to appear in Semigroup Forum.
26. P. Walters, *Topological Wiener-Wintner ergodic theorems and a random L^2 ergodic theorem*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 16 (1996), pp. 179–206.
27. J. H. Williamson, *Harmonic analysis on semigroups*, J. London Math. Soc., 42 (1967), pp. 1–41.

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

E-mail address: `masc@fa.uni-tuebingen.de`